	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/553,801	PUZO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.	(3)	
(2) Mr. Benoit Casterl.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 January 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: None		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Asked the Applicant if they are willing to elect over the phone. Applicant requested the Election/Restriction in writing.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Ganapathy Krishnan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (04-03) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)